

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

Adrian Cross,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 18-CIV-081-Raw

Rob Frazier, et al.,

Defendants.

**OPINION AND ORDER
DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

Plaintiff Adrian Cross has filed a motion requesting the court to appoint counsel [Docket No. 4]. He bears the burden of convincing the court that his claim has sufficient merit to warrant appointment of counsel. *McCarthy v. Weinberg*, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985) (citing *United States v. Masters*, 484 F.2d 1251, 1253 (10th Cir. 1973)). The court has carefully reviewed the merits of plaintiff's claims, the nature of factual issues raised in his allegations, and his ability to investigate crucial facts. *McCarthy*, 753 F.2d at 838 (citing *Maclin v. Freake*, 650 F.2d 885, 887-88 (7th Cir. 1981)). After considering plaintiff's ability to present his claims and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims, the court finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted. *See Williams v. Meese*, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995). ACCORDINGLY, Plaintiff's motion [Docket No. 4] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 19th day of March, 2018.



**RONALD A. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**